

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 2143 of 1986

Date of decision: 10-10-1996

For Approval and Signature

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice S. K. KESHOTE

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

-----  
L H BHATT

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT  
-----

Appearance:

MR KS ACHARYA for Petitioner  
Mr. N.D. Gohil for Respondent No. 1, 2  
-----

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

Date of decision: 10/10/96

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Learned counsel for the respondents contended that in identical matters this court has directed the petitioners in those petitions to make representation to the Government in respect of the grievance made for

allotment of Government accommodation occupied by them on hire purchase agreement. Mr. Acharya, learned counsel for the petitioner agrees that the petitioner will make representation in respect of his grievance made in the special civil application, but his apprehension is that the respondents may not decide the representation for years to come unless the court direct otherwise.

2. In the result the special civil application is disposed of with the direction that the petitioner may make representation in respect of the grievance which has been made by him in the petition to the concerned authority within a period of one month from today, and the concerned authority shall decide such representation within two months from the date of receipt thereof. Rule discharged. Ad interim relief granted earlier stands vacated. No order as to costs.

....

csm